

Exhibit B

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Adam M. Pace (14278)
Hatch Law Group PC
22 E. 100 S., Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 869-1919
hatch@hatchpc.com
pace@hatchpc.com

Lauren A. Degnan (*pro hac vice*)
Joseph V. Colaianni (*pro hac vice*)
Linhong Zhang (*pro hac vice*)
April Sunyoung Park (*pro hac vice*)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1000 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20024
degnan@fr.com
colaianni@fr.com
lwzhang@fr.com
apark@fr.com

Counsel for Defendant Eunsung Global Corp.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

HYDRAFACIAL LLC, formerly known as
EDGE SYSTEMS LLC,

Plaintiff,
v.

LUVO MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
CLARION MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., EUNSUNG GLOBAL CORP., and
HEALTHCARE MARKETS, INC. doing
business as POWERED BY MRP,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER

Case No. 2:24-cv-00587-TC-JCB

Judge: Hon. Tena Campbell
Judge: Hon. Jared C. Bennett

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), Judge Campbell's Patent Rule 2(A), Local Patent Rule 1.2(b)(1), and the Court's Civil Case Scheduling requirements found at the following webpage: <https://www.utd.uscourts.gov/civil-case-scheduling>, Defendant Eunsung Global Corp. ("Defendant") proposes the below schedule. The parties met and conferred on a proposed scheduling order on May 16, 2025. As Defendant has not yet responded to the Complaint, Dkt. 1, the below schedule includes a date for Defendant to respond to the Complaint. The deadlines ultimately entered by the Court may not be modified without a court order consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and DUCivR 83-5. Plaintiff is directed to file a proposed Post-Claim Construction Scheduling Order with the remaining case deadlines within 14 days of the Court entering a ruling on claim construction. The Court will then set trial deadlines in the Post-Claim Construction Scheduling Order or through a case management conference.

**DEADLINES ARE 11:59 P.M. ON THE DATE INDICATED UNLESS
EXPRESSLY STATED OTHERWISE**

I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS AND DISCLOSURES

1. Deadline to Answer Complaint [Dkt. 62]	June 5, 2025
2. Deadline for Plaintiff's Identification of Accused Instrumentalities [Judge Campbell Patent Rule ("JCPR") 3(A)]	May 16, 2025 [Date of Initial Case Management Conference]
3. Initial Case Management Conference (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Conference) [JCPR 2(A); Dkt. 60]	May 16, 2025
4. Deadline for Parties' Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(C)]	June 5, 2025
5. Deadline to submit Stipulated Protective Order and any related disputes	June 30, 2025

6. Deadline for Defendant to produce all documents and things related to the design, development, sale, offer for sale, manufacture, use and functionality of the Accused Instrumentalities made, used, sold, or imported by Defendants [JCPR 4(A)(2)]	July 7, 2025 [30 days after Eunsung responds to the complaint]
7. Deadline for Plaintiff to serve Initial Infringement Contentions [JCPR 3(B)]	August 14, 2025
8. Plaintiff's and Defendant's disclosure of priority date of each patent (JCPR 4.B)	August 14, 2025
9. Plaintiff and Defendant's must identify each apparatus or process (JCPR 4.C)	August 14, 2025
10. Plaintiff must disclose the basis for claim of willfulness (JCPR 4.D)	August 14, 2025
11. Plaintiff's and Defendant's disclosures pursuant to JCPR 4.E	August 14, 2025
12. Parties will notify the Court regarding the status of the IPR institution decisions involving any of the asserted patents	August 26, 2025
13. Deadline for defendant to serve Initial Invalidity Contentions [JCPR 5(A)]	September 29, 2025 [45 days after service of Initial Infringement Contentions]
14. Defendant's disclosures pursuant to JCPR 6.A	September 29, 2025
15. Deadline to file motion to amend pleadings	September 25, 2025
16. Deadline to file motion to join parties	September 25, 2025

II. PROTECTIVE ORDER

The parties anticipate the case will involve the disclosure of information, documents, or other materials that will be designated as confidential.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---	-----------------------------

If the case will involve the disclosure of information, documents, or other materials that will be designated as CONFIDENTIAL, then good cause exists for the court to enter the court's Standard Protective Order (SPO) under DUCivR 26-2:

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. In particular, confidential financial information, technical information, business records, source code, and other privileged or otherwise confidential documents may be produced.

The parties will stipulate to their own Protective Order and raise any disputed issues on the Protective Order with the Court, if needed.

If a protective order is needed and the parties **are not** using the court's SPO, then the court's SPO, in effect under DUCivR 26-2, will govern until a different protective order—proposed by the parties via motion under DUCivR 7-1(a)(4)(D)—is adopted by the court.

The parties' proposed protective order should identify a process to resolve all claims of waiver of attorney-client privilege or work-product protection, whether or not the information, documents, or other materials will be designated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, and this process must be included in the proposed protective order under Fed. R. Evid. 502(d):

The parties will stipulate to this process at the time that the Protective Order is filed.

If the parties do not anticipate the case will involve the disclosure of information, documents, or the materials that will be designated as CONFIDENTIAL, the parties still should identify, in the space below, a process to resolve all claims of waiver of attorney-client privilege or work-product protection, whether or not the information, documents, or other materials will be designated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, and this process must also be included in the proposed Scheduling Order: N/A

III. FACT DISCOVERY

Maximum Number of Depositions by Plaintiff	10
Maximum Number of Depositions by Defendant	10
Maximum number of hours for each deposition: <i>(unless extended by agreement of parties)</i>	7
Maximum interrogatories by any party to any party:	25
Maximum requests for admissions by any party to any party:	100
Maximum requests for production by any party to any party:	100

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES

17. Deadline for parties to exchange proposed claim terms for construction [JCPR 8(A)(1)]	October 9, 2025 [10 days after initial invalidity contentions]
18. Deadline for parties to meet and confer on term and phrase construction [JCPR 8(A)(2)]	October 20, 2025 [10 days after exchange of proposed claim terms for construction]
19. Deadline for parties to exchange preliminary claim constructions and all intrinsic and extrinsic evidence [JCR 8(B)(1)]	October 29, 2025 [20 days after exchange of proposed claim terms for construction]
20. Deadline for parties' second meet and confer to narrow issues and prepare a Joint Claim Construction Prehearing Statement [JCPR 8.B.(4)]	November 10, 2026 [10 days after exchange of proposed construction]
21. Deadline for parties to file Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement [JCR 8(C)]	November 28, 2025 [60 days after preliminary invalidity contentions]
22. Deadline for claim construction discovery [JCR 8(D)]	December 29, 2025 [30 days after Joint Claim Construction Chart]
23. Deadline for patentee to serve Opening Claim Construction Brief [JCR 8(E)(1)]	January 12, 2026
24. Deadline for accused infringer to serve Responsive Claim Construction Brief [JCR 8(E)(2)]	January 26, 2026
25. Deadline for patentee to serve Reply Claim Construction Brief [JCR 8(E)(3)]	February 2, 2026
26. Deadline for parties to exchange exhibits: [LPR 4.4]	TBD [Day 7 Before CCH]
27. Tutorial of technology at issue	TBD [Day of CCH]
28. Claim Construction Hearing [LPR 4.4]	TBD

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

Hon. Jared C. Bennett
United States Magistrate Judge